

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112997
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield of mustard (Brassica juncea L.) influenced by different varieties and spacing
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. YES. 2. YES 3. YES 4. YES 5. YES 6. YES	OKAY
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	NOTED
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	#Abstract: it lacks brain storming sentence at the beginning; and also lack recommendation/suggestion of the finding. #Introduction lacks citations. It couldn't indicate the gap for why this experiment had conducted. #Material and methods were presented well. #Result and Discussion: It was documented in shallow; it was not discussed in detail. some parameters were discussed and justified with only one /single citation. Thus, it needs to revised in detail. Conclusion was too specific and suggest only one option. Generally, all other editorial errors and main comments were highlighted on the document for the authors. If the comments were addressed, the manuscript will fulfil publication criteria as per editorial satisfaction.	NOTED

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	